Table S1. ITC data studies 1 and 3 - Quasilikelihood under the Independence model Criterion (QIC) statistics comparing model fit for unstructured versus exchangeable correlation matrices for analyses where Generalised Estimating Equations (GEE) were used.

| Analysis | QIC unstructured | QIC exchangeable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Usual tobacco product is FM | 20075 | 20084 |
| 2. Usual tobacco product is FM - last purchase = usual | 6110 | 6110 |
| 3. Usual tobacco product is RYO | did not converge | 16706 |
| 4. Usual tobacco product is RYO - last purchase = usual | did not converge | 2025 |
| 5. Usual tobacco product is mixed | 11129 | 11138 |
| 6. Usual tobacco product is mixed - last purchase = usual | did not converge | 1968 |
| 7. Last purchase $=\mathrm{FM}-\mathrm{P}$ | 21834 | 21835 |
| 8. Last purchase $=$ FM-P within UK store-based sources | did not run (ceiling \%) | did not run (ceiling \%) |
| 9. Last purchase $=$ FM-P within non-UK/ non-store sources | did not converge | 1732 |
| 10. Last purchase $=\mathrm{FM}-\mathrm{C}$ | did not converge | 16252 |
| 11. Last purchase $=$ FM-C within UK store-based sources | did not converge | 4553 |
| 12. Last purchase $=$ FM-C within non-UK/ non-store sources | 4547 | 4547 |
| 13 Last purchase source = UK store-based | 15020 | 15014 |
| 14. Last purchase source = convenience store | 17722 | 17722 |
| 15. Last purchase source = supermarket | 17908 | 17909 |
| 16. Last purchase source $=$ UK store-based other | 5265 | 266 |
| 17. Last purchase source $=$ non-UK/ non-store | did not converge | 14753 |
| 18. Last purchase source = outside the UK | did not converge | 3819 |
| 19. Last purchase source = duty free | did not converge | 3408 |
| 20. Last purchase source $=$ informal sellers | did not converge | 1715 |
| 21. Last purchase source $=$ friends/ relatives | did not converge | 1813 |
| 22. Last purchase source $=$ non-UK/ non-store other | did not run (floor \%) | did not run (floor \%) |
| 23. Last purchase source = Other sources | did not run (floor \%) | did not run (floor \%) |
| 24. Median prices for FM-P from UK store-based sources | did not converge | 100533 |
| 25. Median prices for FM-P from non-UK/ non-store sources | did not converge | 101465 |
| 26. Median prices for FM-C from UK store-based sources | did not converge | 100849 |
| 28. Median prices for FM-C from no-UK/ non-store sources | did not converge | 103217 |
| 29. Median prices for RYO from UK store-based sources | 37579 | 37581 |
| 30. Median prices for RYO from non-UK/ non-store sources | 38394 | 38397 |

Notes: Lower QIC values indicate better model fit. FM = factory-made (cigarettes); RYO = roll-your-own (tobacco); FMP = factory-made cigarettes sold by the pack; FM-C = factory-made cigarettes sold by the carton. Analyses 1 through 23 pertain to GEE analyses of linear trends over time for proportion of participants within each (binary) category, using a binomial distribution and logit link. Analyses 24 through 30 pertain to GEE analyses of linear trends over time in median prices, using a gaussian distribution and identity link. All analyses used robust standard errors (see main report text for more details).

The analyses presented in Table S1 pertain to ITC data studies 1 and 3, presented in Chapters 4, 6, and 8, where Generalised Estimating Equations (GEE) were used on population-weighted data to
test for linear trends over time. For clustered data, GEE requires the specification of a correlation matrix estimating the relationship between responses within a cluster. The Quasilikelihood under the Independence Criterion (QIC) statistic is a data-driven method for comparing model fit using different types of correlation matrices. Only two options were available for our data: unstructured and exchangeable correlation matrices. We used a theory-driven approach to decide that the unstructured correlation was a better fit for our data. We reasoned that participants responses would become less correlated further apart in time. The exchangeable correlation option imposes equal correlations between responses over differing time-periods, whereas the unstructured option statistically estimates the associations at each time-point, and we thought this was preferable. We therefore specified an unstructured correlation matrix whenever possible, and used an exchangeable correlation matrix whenever the unstructured version failed to converge (indicated in Table S1).

However, we compared the model fit using the QIC statistic of the unstructured versus exchangeable options. Lower QIC values indicate better model fit, and it may be seen in Table S1 that in all instances except one (analysis 13, shown in bold) the fit provided by the unstructured correlation matrix was better than or equal to that provided by the exchangeable correlation matrix. The exception was the analysis of the proportion of participants purchasing tobacco from UK storebased sources over time, which, using an unstructured correlation matrix indicated that the linear trend was not statistically significant ( $\beta=0.019,95 \% \mathrm{CL}:-0.002,0.041, p=0.081$ ), but using the exchangeable correlation matrix indicated a significant increase in UK store-based purchases over time ( $\beta=0.018,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.0025,0.033, p=0.023$ ). The implications of this are discussed in more detail within the main report (see Chapter 6 and Chapter 8).

Table S2. Nielsen data study 1 - Brand families for factory-made (FM) cigarettes by allocated price segment and price-related terms used each year in the commercial literature.

| Price segment | Brand Families with commercial literature price-related terms by year |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Dunhill "premium" (2009); Vogue superslims "premium" (2009, 11, 12); Embassy <br> Premium |
|  |  <br> Hedges (B\&H) Gold "premium" (all years); Camel "premium" (all years); Park Drive <br> "higher priced" (2015); Silk Cut "premium" (all years); Superkings (originally JPSK) "main <br> stream" (2008) |

Lambert \& Butler "value" (2008), "mid price" (2008, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14), "low price" (2008), "budget" (2008), "economy" (2008, 9, 12), "premium" (2013, 14), "sub premium" (2009,

## Sub-premium 2013); B\&H Dual "sub-premium" (2012, 14); B\&H Silver "sub-premium" (2009, 2012),

 "midprice" (2011), "premium" (2012); B\&H White "sub-premium" (2011), "premium" (2011); Vogue Perle "mid price" (2011), "premium" (2012);Royals "low price" (2010), "value" (2012); Richmond "discount" (2008), "ultra low price" (2008), "value" (2008, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13), "mid price" (2010), "lowest price" (2010),
Value $\quad$ "premium" (2011), "economy" (2008, 10, 13, 14); Mayfair "discount" (2008), "value" (2008 14), "economy" (2008, 10), "budget" (2008, 9), "mid price" (2011, 12, 13, 14), "premium" (2014); Sovereign [Black] "value" (2014), "economy" (2014); Marlboro Bright Leaf "mid price/ mid range" (2009, 10, 12, 13), "lower priced" (2009), "economy" (2009)

Pall Mall "deep discount" (2008), "ultra discount brand" (2010), "economy" (2008, 10, 12, 13, 14), "low price" (2008, 10), "value" (2009, 11, 12, 13); JPS (except Black and White) "economy" (all years), "super value" (2009), "ulp" (2009, 10), "lowest price sectors" (2010, 11), "discount" (2011), "value" (2009, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14), "budget" (2013); Windsor [Blue]

## Vestigial ULP

 "value" (2008, 10, 11, 12), "low price" (2008, 11, 12), "economy" (all years), "discount" (2008), "ultra low price" (2008, 10), "ultra discount brand" (2010), "budget" (2013); Ronson "ultra discount brand" (2011); Sterling "supervalue" (2008), "ultra low price" (2009), "ultra discount brand" (2010), "value" (2008, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14), "low priced" (2008, 10), "economy" (2008, 10, 12, 13, 14), "budget" (2008, 13, 14); Winston "budget" (2010), "value" $(2010,11,13)$B\&H Blue "value" (2014); Sovereign Blue "value" (2013, 14), "sub-£6" (2013); Lambert

| New ULP | \& Butler Blue "sub-economy" (2014), "budget" (2014), "value" (2014), "economy" (2014); |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Chesterfield "value" (2011, 12, 13), "economy" (2013), "sub-£6" (2013); Marlboro Touch |
|  | "more affordable" (2011, 13) |

Rothmans of London "low priced" (2012), "value" (2012, 13, 14), "sub economy" (2014),
Sub ULP "sub value" (2014), "economy" (2014); Carlton "lowest price sectors" (2013), "economy/ budget" (2013); Players "sub value" (2012, 13, 14), "value" (2013, 14), "economy" (2014), "sub economy" $(2013,14)$

Unclassified Berkeley (king size, and superkings) "premium" (2009), "mid price" (2010), "value" (2011, 12), "super value" (2014)

Notes: Not all brand families that were allocated to price segments were mentioned in the commercial literature regarding segmentation (for a full list of all FM brand families within each price segment, see Table 6 in the main report) ULP = Ultra Low Price. All Berkeley SKUs and wholesaler and retailer brands were excluded from segmentation (see main text for details).

Table S3. Nielsen data study 1 - Brand variants for roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco by allocated price segment and price-related terms used each year in the commercial literature.

| Price segment | Brand Variants with commercial literature price-related terms by year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Premium | Amber Leaf Signature high price terms (2014); Benson \& Hedges (B\&H) Gold high <br> price terms (2009, 10, 12); Drum, Drum Additive Free, and Drum Gold high price terms <br> (2009); Golden Virginia high price terms (2009, 10, 11, 13); Natural American Spirit <br> high price terms (2013); Old Holborn high price terms (2014) |
|  |  |

Amber Leaf low price terms (2009, 11, 12), mid-price terms (2012, 13); Amber Leaf Blonde high price terms (2012, 13); B\&H Silver low price terms (2014), mid-price terms

|  | (2009), high price terms (2010); Cutters Choice (+ Extra Smooth, Gold, Exquisite |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Blend, A True Blend) low price terms (2009, 10, 12); Golden Virginia Smooth low price | terms (2009, 10, 11, 12, 13); Old Holborn Yellow high price terms (2013); Samson Virginia low price terms (2010, 14)

Ashford Gold Bright Virginia low price terms (2014); Carlton low price terms (2014); Gold Leaf low price terms (all years); Holborn Smooth Taste low price terms (2013, 14); Value $\quad J P S$ Silver low price terms (2014); Pall Mall low price terms (2010, 11, 12); Players Gold Leaf low price terms (2014); Salsa [Virginia Blend] low price terms (2012, 13, 14), high price terms (2012); Sterling low price terms (2012, 13, 14)
Notes: Low price terms = "value", "[greater] value for money", "lower end of the market", "lower cost", "lower priced", "economy", "discount", "budget"; Mid-price terms = "mid-price", "mid market", "sub premium"; High price terms = "premium", "targeting higher end of the market".
Not all brand variants that were allocated to price segments were mentioned in the commercial literature regarding segmentation (for a full list of all RYO brand variants within each price segment, see Table 6 in the main report). Make-your-own tobacco products, combi-packs, retailer and wholesaler brands, and all Swan SKUs were excluded from segmentation (see main text for details).

Tables S2 and S3 were used to check convergent validity between the allocation of FM and RYO SKUs to price segments using the Nielsen data, and price-related terms found in the commercial literature.

Table S4. Factory-made (FM) cigarette SKUs partially excluded from price segment boundaries for allocating brand variants to price segments in the ITC data, due to anomalous pricing.

| SKU | Dates | Usual Segment | Anomalous Segment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITL Lambert \& Butler Gold king size 20's X1 PMP | Sep - Dec 12 | Sub premium | Orig ulp |
| ITL Richmond king size 20's X1 No Promotion | Sep 15-Jan 16 | Value | Sub ulp |
| ITL Richmond superkings 20's X1 No Promotion | Jan 16 | Value | Sub ulp |
| JTI Sterling superkings 20's X1 No Promotion | Aug 14 - Apr 15 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Benson \& Hedges Blue king size 18's X1 PMP | Apr 15 - Feb 16 | New ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Benson \&Hedges Blue Sky Blue king size 18's X1 PMP | Apr 15 - Feb 16 | New ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Benson \& Hedges Blue superkings 18's X1 PMP | Apr 15 - Feb 16 | New ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Benson \& Hedges Blue Sky Blue superkings 18's X1 PMP | Apr 15 - Feb 16 | New ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Sterling superkings 20's X1 PMP | May - Jul 14 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| JTI Sterling superkings 20's X1 No Promotion | Jul-14 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| Sterling 18's Packs | Jun - Dec 15 | Orig ulp | Value |
| JTI Sterling Duel king size 19's X1 No Promotion | Aug - Sep 15 | Orig ulp | Value |
| ITL John Player Special Blue 20's X1 No Promotion | May 14 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| ITL John Player Special Blue 20's X1 PMP | May 14 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| ITL John Player Special Blue superkings Blue 20's X1 PMP | May 14 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| ITL John Player Special Silver superkings 20's X1 No Promotion | Sep 13 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| PMI Raffles White 100s 20's X1 No Promotion | Jul 09 | Vestigial midprice | Premium |
| ITL Richmond king size 20's X1 PMP | May - Jun 14 | Value | Orig ulp |
| ITL Richmond Menthol superkings 20's X1 No Promotion | May 14 | Value | Orig ulp |
| Royals 20's Packs PMP | Apr - Jul 2 | Value | Orig ulp |
| Royals 20's Packs | Aug 12 - Dec 14 | Value | Orig ulp |
| Pall Mall 19's Packs PMP | Feb - Oct 13 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |
| Winston 19's Packs PMP | Feb - Oct 13 | Orig ulp | Sub ulp |

Note: ITL = Imperial Tobacco Limited; JTI = Japan Tobacco International; PMP = price-marked pack; ITC = International Tobacco Control; ulp = ultra low price; orig = original.

Table S5. Nielsen data study 2 - Real (base year $=2014$ ) weighted average price changes over time (packs and sticks) by price segment.

Jan-09 Jan-10 Jan-11 Jan-12 Jan-13 Jan-14 Jan-15 Dec-15

## Price per stick

FM premium
FM mid-price
FM value
FM sub value

| RYO premium | $£ 0.12$ | $£ 0.12$ | $£ 0.12$ | $£ 0.14$ | $£ 0.14$ | $£ 0.15$ | $£ 0.16$ | $£ 0.17$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RYO mid-price | $£ 0.11$ | $£ 0.11$ | $£ 0.11$ | $£ 0.13$ | $£ 0.13$ | $£ 0.14$ | $£ 0.15$ | $£ 0.15$ |
| RYO value | $£ 0.10$ | $£ 0.10$ | $£ 0.11$ | $£ 0.12$ | $£ 0.12$ | $£ 0.13$ | $£ 0.13$ | $£ 0.14$ |

## Difference in stick price

FM premium to RYO value
£0.15
£0.16
$£ 0.16 \quad £ 0.17 \quad £ 0.19 \quad £ 0.20 \quad £ 0.22$
$£ 0.22$

## Price per pack

| FM premium | $£ 4.78$ | $£ 4.97$ | $£ 5.15$ | $£ 5.32$ | $£ 5.67$ | $£ 5.99$ | $£ 6.50$ | $£ 6.76$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FM mid-price | $£ 4.05$ | $£ 4.19$ | $£ 4.33$ | $£ 4.60$ | $£ 4.87$ | $£ 5.11$ | $£ 5.36$ | $£ 5.61$ |
| FM value | $£ 3.80$ | $£ 3.81$ | $£ 3.97$ | $£ 4.34$ | $£ 4.65$ | $£ 4.79$ | $£ 5.05$ | $£ 5.10$ |
| FM sub value |  |  |  |  | $£ 4.75$ | $£ 4.66$ | $£ 4.71$ | $£ 4.68$ |
| RYO premium | $£ 4.42$ | $£ 4.75$ | $£ 4.96$ | $£ 5.42$ | $£ 5.81$ | $£ 6.16$ | $£ 6.63$ | $£ 6.97$ |
| RYO mid-price | $£ 3.64$ | $£ 3.96$ | $£ 4.13$ | $£ 4.67$ | $£ 4.96$ | $£ 5.24$ | $£ 5.51$ | $£ 5.81$ |
| RYO value | $£ 3.04$ | $£ 2.92$ | $£ 3.45$ | $£ 3.94$ | $£ 4.26$ | $£ 4.16$ | $£ 4.24$ | $£ 4.28$ |
| Difference in pack price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM premium to RYO value | $£ 1.74$ | $£ 2.05$ | $£ 1.70$ | $£ 1.38$ | $£ 1.41$ | $£ 1.83$ | $£ 2.26$ | $£ 2.48$ |

Note: FM = factory-made (cigarette); RYO = roll-your-own (tobacco).

Table S6. Nielsen data study 2 - Annual total volume of sticks and packs sold (millions) by price segment, and percentage of price-marked packaging (pmp), for factory-made (FM) cigarettes and roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco.

|  | non price marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price marked | pmp | \% pmp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sticks |  | 2012 |  |  | 013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |
| FM premium | 6,598 | 139 | 2.1\% | 5,907 | 21 | 0.4\% | 5,340 | - | 0\% | 4,509 | - | 0\% |
| FM mid-price | 9,307 | 4396 | 32.1\% | 7,572 | 4186 | 35.6\% | 6,147 | 3637 | 37.2\% | 4,956 | 2866 | 36.6\% |
| FM value | 5,509 | 5121 | 48.2\% | 5,003 | 5984 | 54.5\% | 4,530 | 7047 | 60.9\% | 4,644 | 6573 | 58.6\% |
| FM sub value | 91 | 193 | 68.0\% | 382 | 835 | 68.6\% | 908 | 1868 | 67.3\% | 1,571 | 2752 | 63.7\% |
| RYO premium | 2,833 | 1056 | 27.2\% | 2,659 | 772 | 22.5\% | 2,437 | 716 | 22.7\% | 2,186 | 655 | 23.1\% |
| RYO mid-price | 4,274 | 1617 | 27.4\% | 4,007 | 2271 | 36.2\% | 3,654 | 2529 | 40.9\% | 3,315 | 2697 | 44.9\% |
| RYO value | 731 | 632 | 46.4\% | 948 | 923 | 49.3\% | 1,073 | 1113 | 50.9\% | 1,158 | 1147 | 49.8\% |
| Packs |  | 2012 |  |  | 013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |
| FM premium | 409 | 7 | 1.7\% | 366 | 1 | 0.3\% | 332 | - | 0\% | 279 | - | 0\% |
| FM mid-price | 600 | 277 | 31.6\% | 489 | 270 | 35.6\% | 401 | 240 | 37.4\% | 323 | 190 | 37.0\% |
| FM value | 331 | 312 | 48.5\% | 305 | 367 | 54.6\% | 289 | 433 | 60.0\% | 297 | 411 | 58.1\% |
| FM sub value | 5 | 11 | 68.8\% | 20 | 50 | 71.4\% | 51 | 113 | 68.9\% | 93 | 173 | 65.0\% |
| RYO premium | 65 | 33 | 33.7\% | 64 | 21 | 24.7\% | 59 | 19 | 24.4\% | 52 | 17 | 24.6\% |
| RYO mid-price | 112 | 49 | 30.4\% | 103 | 68 | 39.8\% | 95 | 73 | 43.5\% | 87 | 76 | 46.6\% |
| RYO value | 20 | 19 | 48.7\% | 26 | 30 | 53.6\% | 30 | 38 | 55.9\% | 33 | 41 | 55.4\% |

Table S7. Nielsen data study 2 - Annual total volume of sticks and packs sold (millions) by pack size, and percentage of price-marked packaging (pmp), for factory-made (FM) cigarettes and roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco.

|  | non price <br> marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price <br> marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price <br> marked | pmp | \% pmp | non price <br> marked | pmp |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \% pmp

Table S8. Nielsen data study 2 - Annual real (base year $=2014$ ) weighted average price per pack, by price segment and percentage of price-marking.

|  | Factory-made cigarettes |  |  |  | Roll-your-own tobacco |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Premium | Mid-price | Value | Sub-value | Premium | Mid-price | Value |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non price-marked | £5.30 | £4.60 | £4.42 |  | £6.02 | £4.89 | £4.33 |
| Price-marked pack | £6.27 | £4.60 | £4.25 |  | £4.35 | £4.13 | £3.59 |
| \% difference | -15.5 | 0 | 4.0 |  | 38.4 | 18.4 | 20.6 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non price-marked | $£ 5.66$ | $£ 4.93$ | £4.75 | £5.19 | £6.05 | £5.29 | £4.68 |
| Price-marked pack | £6.85 | £4.78 | £4.56 | $£ 4.54$ | £5.07 | £4.41 | £3.89 |
| \% difference | -17.4 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 14.3 | 19.3 | 20.0 | 20.3 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non price-marked | $£ 5.99$ | $£ 5.19$ | £4.87 | $£ 4.92$ | £6.30 | $£ 5.54$ | £4.63 |
| Price-marked pack |  | $£ 4.96$ | £4.73 | £4.54 | £5.69 | $£ 4.76$ | £3.79 |
| \% difference |  | 4.6 | 3.0 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 16.4 | 22.2 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non price-marked | $£ 6.50$ | $£ 5.47$ | $£ 5.07$ | $£ 5.00$ | £6.81 | £5.74 | £4.82 |
| Price-marked pack |  | £5.17 | £5.03 | £4.57 | £6.09 | £5.24 | £3.79 |
| \% difference |  | 5.8 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 11.8 | 9.5 | 27.2 |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non price-marked | $£ 6.76$ | $£ 5.76$ | £5.21 | $£ 4.98$ | $£ 7.16$ | £6.08 | £4.86 |
| Price-marked pack |  | £5.34 | £5.01 | £4.51 | £6.37 | £5.52 | £3.83 |
| \% difference |  | 7.9 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 26.9 |

Table S9. Nielsen data study 3 - Net real (base year 2014) revenue per pack by calendar month and price segment for typical pack sizes of factory-made (FM) cigarettes and roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.36$ | £1.37 | £1.39 | $£ 1.38$ | $£ 1.38$ | $£ 1.38$ | $£ 1.38$ | $£ 1.37$ | $£ 1.37$ | $£ 1.37$ | £1.38 | £1.39 |
| Mid-price | £0.86 | £0.88 | £0.89 | £0.89 | £0.88 | £0.89 | £0.90 | £0.90 | £0.90 | £0.90 | £0.90 | £0.91 |
| Value |  |  |  |  | £0.44 | £0.45 | £0.46 | £0.47 | £0.47 | £0.47 | £0.47 | £0.49 |
| 2009 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.09$ | £1.04 | $£ 1.04$ | £1.04 | $£ 1.03$ | $£ 1.03$ | $£ 1.03$ | $£ 1.03$ | £1.04 | £1.04 | £1.04 | £1.04 |
| Mid Price | $£ 0.87$ | £0.82 | £0.84 | £0.84 | £0.83 | £0.83 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.87 |
| Value | £0.86 | £0.62 | $£ 0.76$ | £0.76 | £0.65 | £0.65 | £0.57 | £0.65 | £0.60 | £0.60 | £0.59 | £0.59 |
| 2010 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.40$ | £1.41 | £1.41 | £1.38 | £1.40 | $£ 1.40$ | £1.41 | $£ 1.41$ | £1.41 | $£ 1.43$ | £1.45 | £1.45 |
| Mid-price | £0.91 | £0.93 | £0.94 | £0.91 | £0.92 | $£ 0.93$ | £0.94 | £0.94 | £0.95 | £0.96 | £0.98 | £0.98 |
| Value | $£ 0.43$ | £0.45 | £0.46 | £0.42 | £0.45 | £0.46 | $£ 0.47$ | £0.48 | £0.49 | £0.49 | £0.49 | £0.49 |
| 2010 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.08$ | £1.09 | $£ 1.09$ | £1.08 | £1.09 | $£ 1.09$ | £1.10 | $£ 1.09$ | £1.10 | £1.13 | $£ 1.13$ | £1.13 |
| Mid Price | £0.85 | £0.87 | £0.88 | £0.86 | £0.87 | £0.88 | £0.89 | £0.89 | £0.89 | £0.89 | £0.90 | £0.90 |
| Value | £0.66 | £0.65 | $£ 0.72$ | £0.65 | £0.67 | £0.69 | £0.70 | £0.73 | £0.73 | £0.74 | £0.75 | £0.75 |
| 2011 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.43$ | $£ 1.44$ | £1.45 | £1.40 | $£ 1.44$ | £1.44 | $£ 1.44$ | £1.44 | $£ 1.46$ | £1.49 | £1.50 | £1.51 |
| Mid-price | $£ 0.95$ | £0.96 | £0.98 | £0.87 | £0.89 | £0.92 | £0.95 | £0.95 | £0.96 | £0.98 | £1.00 | £1.01 |
| Value | $£ 0.46$ | £0.48 | $£ 0.50$ | £0.32 | £0.36 | £0.44 | £0.51 | £0.51 | £0.49 | £0.48 | £0.51 | £0.56 |
| 2011 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | £1.12 | £1.11 | £1.13 | £1.03 | $£ 1.07$ | £1.07 | $£ 1.09$ | £1.11 | £1.11 | £1.14 | £1.15 | £1.15 |
| Mid Price | £0.89 | £0.91 | £0.92 | £0.81 | £0.83 | £0.86 | £0.90 | £0.91 | £0.93 | £0.94 | £0.94 | £0.95 |
| Value | £0.73 | £0.74 | $£ 0.76$ | £0.58 | £0.58 | £0.57 | £0.61 | £0.62 | £0.69 | £0.74 | £0.76 | £0.77 |
| 2012 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.51$ | £1.51 | $£ 1.56$ | £1.48 | $£ 1.50$ | $£ 1.52$ | $£ 1.56$ | $£ 1.57$ | £1.61 | £1.64 | £1.64 | £1.64 |
| Mid-price | £1.03 | £1.03 | £1.06 | £0.92 | £0.94 | £0.97 | £1.03 | £1.05 | £1.08 | £1.09 | £1.11 | £1.13 |
| Value | $£ 0.57$ | £0.57 | $£ 0.58$ | £0.42 | £0.44 | £0.46 | $£ 0.47$ | £0.50 | £0.58 | £0.61 | £0.61 | £0.62 |
| 2012 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.17$ | £1.17 | $£ 1.19$ | £1.14 | £1.14 | $£ 1.16$ | £1.21 | £1.21 | £1.23 | £1.22 | £1.22 | £1.23 |
| Mid Price | $£ 0.97$ | £0.98 | £1.00 | £0.93 | £0.93 | £0.95 | $£ 0.97$ | £0.98 | £1.00 | £1.01 | £1.02 | £1.02 |
| Value | £0.77 | £0.77 | £0.78 | £0.68 | £0.69 | £0.72 | £0.74 | £0.76 | £0.78 | £0.77 | £0.77 | £0.79 |
| 2013 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | £1.65 | £1.64 | $£ 1.66$ | £1.64 | $£ 1.67$ | £1.68 | £1.70 | $£ 1.70$ | £1.73 | $£ 1.79$ | £1.82 | £1.83 |
| Mid-price | £1.15 | £1.15 | £1.17 | £1.07 | £1.08 | £1.09 | £1.15 | £1.15 | £1.17 | £1.21 | £1.22 | £1.24 |
| Value | £0.62 | £0.63 | £0.65 | £0.53 | £0.55 | £0.58 | £0.62 | £0.59 | £0.59 | £0.59 | £0.63 | £0.69 |
| Sub-value | £0.61 | £0.64 | £0.63 | £0.50 | £0.48 | £0.47 | £0.54 | £0.53 | £0.52 | £0.53 | £0.54 | £0.55 |
| 2013 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $£ 1.25$ | £1.25 | £1.26 | £1.22 | £1.23 | £1.24 | £1.27 | $£ 1.28$ | £1.28 | £1.32 | £1.34 | £1.34 |
| Mid Price | £1.05 | £1.06 | £1.07 | £1.01 | £1.02 | £1.03 | £1.06 | £1.06 | £1.07 | £1.09 | £1.10 | £1.13 |
| Value | $£ 0.80$ | £0.79 | £0.78 | £0.65 | £0.68 | £0.70 | £0.71 | £0.74 | £0.76 | $£ 0.77$ | £0.79 | £0.81 |
| 2014 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | £1.85 | £1.87 | $£ 1.91$ | £1.86 | $£ 1.90$ | £1.93 | £1.98 | £2.00 | £2.04 | £2.05 | £2.09 | £2.10 |
| Mid-price | £1.27 | £1.28 | $£ 1.30$ | £1.20 | £1.22 | £1.27 | £1.31 | £1.32 | £1.37 | £1.40 | £1.42 | £1.43 |
| Value | £0.70 | £0.71 | £0.75 | £0.63 | £0.65 | £0.72 | $£ 0.76$ | £0.78 | £0.80 | £0.81 | £0.82 | £0.86 |
| Sub-value | $£ 0.55$ | £0.58 | $£ 0.60$ | £0.48 | £0.48 | £0.47 | £0.47 | $£ 0.46$ | £0.48 | £0.51 | £0.53 | £0.53 |
| 2014 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | £1.35 | £1.35 | £1.38 | £1.35 | £1.35 | £1.35 | £1.37 | £1.40 | £1.44 | £1.46 | £1.47 | £1.48 |
| Mid Price | £1.14 | £1.14 | $£ 1.15$ | £1.10 | £1.10 | £1.11 | £1.12 | £1.13 | £1.17 | £1.18 | £1.19 | £1.22 |
| Value | £0.83 | £0.84 | £0.87 | £0.83 | £0.84 | £0.84 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.88 | £0.90 | £0.90 | £0.92 |


| 2015 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premium | £2.12 | £2.12 | £2.14 | £2.10 | £2.12 | £2.13 | £2.14 | £2.13 | £2.17 | £2.20 | £2.22 | £2.22 |
| Mid-price | £1.45 | £1.46 | £1.48 | £1.42 | £1.46 | £1.49 | £1.51 | £1.52 | £1.53 | £1.55 | £1.57 | £1.57 |
| Value | £0.90 | £0.92 | £0.92 | £0.84 | £0.85 | £0.84 | £0.84 | £0.84 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.85 | £0.85 |
| Sub-value | £0.54 | £0.52 | £0.52 | £0.43 | £0.45 | £0.46 | £0.46 | £0.46 | £0.50 | £0.53 | £0.55 | £0.57 |
| 2015 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | £1.51 | £1.52 | £1.53 | £1.50 | £1.51 | £1.51 | £1.51 | £1.52 | £1.53 | $£ 1.57$ | £1.60 | £1.61 |
| Mid Price | £1.25 | £1.26 | £1.27 | £1.23 | £1.23 | £1.25 | £1.26 | £1.26 | £1.29 | £1.31 | £1.32 | £1.33 |
| Value | £0.93 | £0.95 | £0.98 | £0.92 | £0.92 | £0.93 | £0.94 | £0.93 | £0.93 | £0.94 | £0.96 | £0.96 |

Note: FM premium and FM mid-price are 20 stick packs, FM value and FM sub-value are 19 stick packs (except in 2009 FM value are 20 stick packs because 19 stick packs were not available), and RYO is 12.5 g pouches. The sub-value price segment for FM tobacco only emerged from 2013 onwards.

Table S10. Nielsen data study 3 - Change in net real (base year $=2014$ ) revenue per pack from budget month, by price segment for typical pack sizes for factory-made (FM) cigarettes and roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco.

| Budget year | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium |  | £0.00 | £0.00 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | £0.00 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.03 |
| Mid-price |  | £0.00 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.02 | £0.04 | £0.06 |
| Value |  | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | £0.00 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.04 |
| 2009 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium |  | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | £0.04 | £0.06 | £0.05 |
| Mid-price |  | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.03 | £0.01 | £0.03 | £0.04 |
| Value |  | -£0.11 | -£0.11 | -£0.19 | -£0.11 | -£0.16 | -£0.16 | -£0.17 | -£0.17 | -£0.10 | -£0.11 | -£0.04 |
| 2010 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.03 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.02$ | £0.04 | £0.04 | £0.02 | $£ 0.03$ | £0.04 |
| Mid-price | -£0.04 | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.04 | £0.04 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.03 |
| Value | -£0.04 | -£0.02 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.03 | £0.03 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.02 | £0.03 |
| 2010 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.01 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.04 | £0.04 | £0.03 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.04 |
| Mid-price | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.01 | £0.03 | £0.04 |
| Value | -£0.08 | -£0.06 | -£0.03 | -£0.03 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.03 |
| 2011 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.05 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | $£ 0.04$ | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.06$ | $£ 0.06$ | $£ 0.06$ | £0.11 |
| Mid-price | -£0.11 | -£0.08 | -£0.06 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | £0.00 | £0.02 | £0.04 | $£ 0.06$ | £0.06 | £0.09 |
| Value | -£0.18 | -£0.13 | -£0.05 | £0.01 | £0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.02 | £0.01 | £0.06 | $£ 0.07$ | £0.07 | £0.08 |
| 2011 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.10 | -£0.06 | -£0.06 | -£0.03 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | £0.01 | $£ 0.03$ | £0.02 | £0.04 | £0.04 | $£ 0.07$ |
| Mid-price | -£0.11 | -£0.09 | -£0.07 | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.05 | £0.05 | £0.08 |
| Value | -£0.18 | -£0.18 | -£0.19 | -£0.15 | -£0.13 | -£0.07 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.01 | £0.03 |
| 2012 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.08 | -£0.06 | -£0.04 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.01 | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.08$ | $£ 0.08$ | $£ 0.08$ | $£ 0.09$ | £0.08 | £0.11 |
| Mid-price | -£0.14 | -£0.13 | -£0.09 | -£0.04 | -£0.02 | £0.02 | $£ 0.03$ | £0.05 | £0.07 | £0.09 | £0.09 | £0.10 |
| Value | -£0.16 | -£0.14 | -£0.12 | -£0.11 | -£0.08 | £0.00 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.04 | £0.04 | £0.05 | £0.06 |
| 2012 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.06 | -£0.05 | -£0.03 | £0.01 | $£ 0.02$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.03$ | £0.03 | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.06$ | $£ 0.05$ | £0.06 |
| Mid-price | -£0.07 | -£0.06 | -£0.04 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.02 | £0.05 | £0.06 | £0.07 |
| Value | -£0.10 | -£0.09 | -£0.07 | -£0.04 | -£0.03 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | £0.02 | £0.00 | -£0.01 |
| 2013 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.03 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.01 | $£ 0.04$ | £0.04 | $£ 0.07$ | $£ 0.13$ | $£ 0.16$ | £0.16 | £0.18 | £0.21 | £0.24 |
| Mid-price | -£0.10 | -£0.09 | -£0.07 | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | £0.04 | £0.06 | £0.08 | £0.11 | £0.11 | £0.13 |
| Value | -£0.12 | -£0.10 | -£0.07 | -£0.03 | -£0.05 | -£0.06 | -£0.05 | -£0.01 | £0.05 | £0.06 | £0.06 | £0.10 |
| Sub-value | -£0.13 | -£0.15 | -£0.16 | -£0.09 | -£0.10 | -£0.11 | -£0.10 | -£0.09 | -£0.08 | -£0.08 | -£0.05 | -£0.03 |
| 2013 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.04 | -£0.03 | -£0.02 | £0.01 | £0.02 | $£ 0.02$ | $£ 0.06$ | £0.09 | £0.08 | $£ 0.09$ | £0.10 | £0.13 |
| Mid-price | -£0.05 | -£0.05 | -£0.03 | -£0.01 | -£0.01 | £0.00 | £0.02 | £0.03 | £0.06 | £0.07 | £0.07 | £0.08 |
| Value | -£0.13 | -£0.09 | -£0.08 | -£0.07 | -£0.04 | -£0.02 | -£0.01 | £0.01 | £0.04 | £0.05 | £0.06 | £0.09 |
| 2014 FM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.05 | $£ 0.00$ | £0.02 | $£ 0.07$ | £0.09 | £0.13 | £0.15 | £0.18 | £0.20 | £0.21 | £0. 22 | £0. 23 |
| Mid-price | -£0.10 | -£0.07 | -£0.03 | £0.01 | £0.03 | £0.08 | £0.10 | £0.12 | £0.14 | £0.15 | £0.17 | £0.19 |
| Value | -£0.11 | -£0.09 | -£0.03 | £0.02 | £0.04 | £0.06 | £0.06 | £0.08 | £0.12 | £0.15 | £0.17 | £0.18 |
| Sub-value | -£0.12 | -£0.12 | -£0.13 | -£0.13 | -£0.14 | -£0.12 | -£0.09 | -£0.08 | -£0.07 | -£0.07 | -£0.08 | -£0.08 |
| 2014 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | -£0.04 | -£0.03 | -£0.03 | -£0.01 | £0.02 | $£ 0.06$ | $£ 0.08$ | £0.09 | $£ 0.09$ | £0.13 | £0.13 | £0.14 |
| Mid-price | -£0.05 | -£0.04 | -£0.03 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | £0.02 | £0.04 | £0.04 | £0.07 | £0.10 | £0.11 | £0.12 |
| Value | -£0.04 | -£0.03 | -£0.03 | -£0.02 | -£0.02 | £0.01 | £0.03 | £0.03 | £0.05 | £0.06 | £0.07 | £0.11 |


| Premium | $-£ 0.04$ | $-£ 0.01$ | $-£ 0.01$ | $£ 0.00$ | $-£ 0.01$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.06$ | $£ 0.08$ | $£ 0.09$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-price | $-£ 0.06$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $£ 0.01$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.07$ | $£ 0.09$ | $£ 0.09$ |
| Value | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.07$ | $-£ 0.08$ | $-£ 0.07$ |
| Sub-value | $-£ 0.09$ | $-£ 0.07$ | $-£ 0.06$ | $-£ 0.06$ | $-£ 0.06$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $£ 0.01$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.05$ |
| 2015 RYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premium | $-£ 0.03$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $-£ 0.01$ | $-£ 0.01$ | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.04$ | $£ 0.08$ | $£ 0.08$ |
| Mid-price | $-£ 0.04$ | $-£ 0.03$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.03$ | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.07$ |
| Value | $-£ 0.05$ | $-£ 0.06$ | $-£ 0.05$ | $-£ 0.04$ | $-£ 0.05$ | $-£ 0.05$ | $-£ 0.04$ | $-£ 0.02$ | $-£ 0.02$ |

Note: 2009 begins in May because the Budget was in April rather than March, and 2015 ends in December because this was the end of the data series. FM premium and FM midprice are 20 stick packs, FM value and FM sub-value are 19 stick packs (except in 2009 FM value are 20 stick packs because 19 stick packs were not available), RYO is 12.5 g pouches. The sub-value price segment for FM tobacco only emerged from 2013 onwards

Table S11. ITC data study 2 - Linear random effects clustered regression analyses of individualised affordability regressed on time (tax year) and other covariates, for factory-made (FM) cigarette smokers, full data.

|  | Model 1 Unadjusted |  |  | Model 2 <br> Fully-adjusted |  |  | Model 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N=3420, ~ O b s=7475$ |  |  | $N=3420, ~ O b s=7475$ |  |  | $N=3174$, Obs $=6439$ |  |  |
|  | $\beta$ | SE | $p$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | SE | $p$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | SE | $p$ |
| Time (tax year) | $\chi 2(1)=1$ | 118.76, $p$ | < . 0001 | $\chi 2$ (1) | = 59.84, $p$ | . 0001 | $\chi 2$ (1) | 54.29, p | 001 |
| 2002 | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| 2003 | -0.05 | 0.23 | 0.833 | -0.05 | 0.23 | 0.842 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 0.945 |
| 2004 | -0.47 | 0.41 | 0.250 | -0.44 | 0.40 | 0.267 | -0.41 | 0.46 | 0.374 |
| 2005 | -0.17 | 0.27 | 0.535 | -0.07 | 0.27 | 0.806 | -0.05 | 0.30 | 0.873 |
| 2006 | -0.56 | 0.29 | 0.052 | -0.36 | 0.28 | 0.208 | -0.42 | 0.32 | 0.186 |
| 2007 | -0.80 | 0.30 | 0.008 | -0.54 | 0.30 | 0.069 | -0.60 | 0.34 | 0.078 |
| 2008 | -0.84 | 0.31 | 0.007 | -0.38 | 0.31 | 0.224 | -0.53 | 0.35 | 0.135 |
| 2010 | -1.80 | 0.35 | <. 001 | -1.11 | 0.35 | 0.002 | -1.18 | 0.40 | 0.003 |
| 2012 | -3.10 | 0.66 | < . 001 | -2.45 | 0.66 | <. 001 | -2.57 | 0.77 | 0.001 |
| 2013 | -3.42 | 0.44 | < . 001 | -2.56 | 0.44 | < . 001 | -2.58 | 0.48 | < . 001 |
| 2014 | -3.71 | 0.41 | < . 001 | -2.82 | 0.42 | <. 001 | -3.10 | 0.47 | < . 001 |
| Time (tax year), reverse adjacent contrasts | $\chi^{2}(10)=$ | 141.43, $p$ | <. 0001 | $\chi 2$ (10) | $=78.73, p$ | < . 0001 | $\chi 2$ (10) | 69.53, $p$ | . 0001 |
| 2003 vs 2002 | -0.05 | 0.23 | 0.833 | -0.05 | 0.23 | 0.842 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.945 |
| 2004 vs 2003 | -0.42 | 0.42 | 0.309 | -0.40 | 0.40 | 0.326 | -0.43 | 0.46 | 0.359 |
| 2005 vs 2004 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.426 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.314 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.407 |
| 2006 vs 2005 | -0.39 | 0.26 | 0.141 | -0.29 | 0.26 | 0.264 | -0.38 | 0.30 | 0.204 |
| 2007 vs 2006 | -0.24 | 0.27 | 0.378 | -0.18 | 0.27 | 0.495 | -0.17 | 0.31 | 0.574 |
| 2008 vs 2007 | -0.05 | 0.28 | 0.865 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.561 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.816 |
| 2010 vs 2008 | -0.95 | 0.32 | 0.003 | -0.73 | 0.31 | 0.020 | -0.65 | 0.35 | 0.065 |
| 2012 vs 2010 | -1.30 | 0.66 | 0.047 | -1.33 | 0.65 | 0.039 | -1.39 | 0.60 | 0.067 |
| 2013 vs 2012 | -0.32 | 0.72 | 0.659 | -0.11 | 0.71 | 0.873 | -0.01 | 0.82 | 0.986 |
| 2014 vs 2013 | -0.30 | 0.46 | 0.522 | -0.25 | 0.45 | 0.575 | -0.52 | 0.50 | 0.299 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Male | 2.11 | 0.34 | $<.001$ | 1.93 | 0.31 | < . 001 | 2.05 | 0.34 | < . 001 |
| Age (continuous) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 0.22 | 0.058 | < . 001 | 0.23 | 0.05 | < . 001 | 0.23 | 0.06 | < . 001 |
| Age squared | -0.0036 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | < . 001 | $\begin{gathered} 0.003 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $0.00060$ | $\text { < . } 001$ | -0.0034 | 0.00060 | <. 001 |
| Region | $\chi 2(11)=53.60, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(11)=31.12, p=0.0011$ |  |  | $\chi 2(11)=29.89, p=0.0016$ |  |  |
| London | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Yorkshire \& The Humber | -1.00 | 0.69 | 0.149 | -0.45 | 0.64 | 0.481 | -0.49 | 0.70 | 0.487 |
|  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |


| East Midlands | -0.77 | 0.74 | 0.293 | -0.46 | 0.69 | 0.505 | -0.56 | 0.75 | 0.454 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern | -1.09 | 0.68 | 0.110 | -0.29 | 0.64 | 0.648 | -0.34 | 0.70 | 0.624 |
| North East | -2.71 | 0.86 | 0.002 | -1.82 | 0.81 | 0.024 | -2.43 | 0.89 | 0.006 |
| South East | -0.42 | 0.59 | 0.471 | 0.19 | 0.55 | 0.729 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.559 |
| South West | -1.84 | 0.77 | 0.017 | -0.71 | 0.72 | 0.322 | -0.65 | 0.78 | 0.401 |
| West Midlands | -1.57 | 0.70 | 0.024 | -0.82 | 0.65 | 0.210 | -0.91 | 0.71 | 0.197 |
| North West | -1.85 | 0.65 | 0.004 | -0.85 | 0.61 | 0.163 | -0.96 | 0.66 | 0.148 |
| Wales | -1.59 | 0.85 | 0.062 | -0.33 | 0.80 | 0.681 | -0.35 | 0.86 | 0.680 |
| Scotland | -3.07 | 0.65 | < . 001 | -1.50 | 0.61 | 0.013 | -1.44 | 0.65 | 0.028 |
| Northern Ireland | -5.51 | 1.00 | < . 001 | -4.09 | 0.93 | 0.000 | -3.90 | 0.97 | <. 001 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Not white | 1.65 | 0.72 | 0.021 | -0.26 | 0.68 | 0.698 | -0.22 | 0.73 | 0.761 |
| Education | $\chi 2(2)=139.46, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=95.14, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=90.82, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 2.17 | 0.36 | <. 001 | 1.45 | 0.35 | < . 001 | $1.58{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.38 | <. 001 |
| High | 5.14 | 0.45 | <. 001 | 4.23 | 0.44 | < . 001 | $4.48{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.48 | < . 001 |
| Time To First Cigarette (TTFC) | $\chi 2(3)=111.47, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(3)=116.41, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=120.96, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| Over 60 mins | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| 31 to 60 mins | -1.25 | 0.31 | < . 001 | -1.19 | 0.30 | < . 001 | -1.23 *** | 0.34 | < . 001 |
| 6 to 30 mins | -2.33 | 0.31 | < . 001 | -2.21 | 0.30 | < . 001 | -2.39*** | 0.34 | < . 001 |
| Within 5 mins | -3.87 | 0.38 | <. 001 | -3.83 | 0.37 | < . 001 | $-4.33^{* * *}$ | 0.41 | <. 001 |
| Purchase source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK store-based | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Non-UK/ non-store | 4.15 | 0.25 | < . 001 | 4.10 | 0.25 | < . 001 | --- | --- | --- |
| Constant | --- | --- | --- | 88.83 | 1.36 | < . 001 | 88.92*** | 1.47 | < . 001 |

Notes: Model 1 is the unadjusted effects of affordability regressed separately on each predictor variable, Model 2 is adjusted for all covariates, and Model 3 is adjusted for all covariates but excludes purchases from non-UK/ non-store sources. Chi-square $(\chi 2)$ statistics are for overall effects of linear trends (time) and any variables with 3 or more categories

Table S12. ITC data study 2 - Linear random effects clustered regression analyses of individualised affordability regressed on time (tax year) and other covariates, for roll-your-own (RYO) cigarette smokers, full data.

|  | Model 1 Unadjusted |  |  | Model 2 Fully-adjusted |  |  | Model 3 <br> UK store based only |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N=734$, Obs $=1468$ |  |  | $N=734, ~ O b s=1468$ |  |  | $N=598, ~ O b s=1056$ |  |  |
|  | $\beta$ | SE | $p$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | SE | $p$ | $\beta$ | SE | p |
| Time (tax year) | $\chi 2$ (1) | .91, | . 0001 | $\chi 2$ (1) | 9.45, | . 0001 | $\chi 2$ (2) | 7.45, | < . 0001 |
| 2006 | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- |  |
| 2007 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.938 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.388 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.444 |
| 2008 | -0.02 | 0.33 | 0.952 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.568 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.663 |
| 2010 | -0.78 | 0.35 | 0.025 | -0.36 | 0.34 | 0.305 | -0.35 | 0.46 | 0.450 |
| 2012 | -1.68 | 0.64 | 0.009 | -0.92 | 0.63 | 0.141 | -1.50 | 0.83 | 0.073 |
| 2013 | -2.44 | 0.42 | $<.001$ | -1.94 | 0.41 | < . 001 | -2.37 | 0.53 | < . 001 |
| 2014 | -2.58 | 0.40 | $<.001$ | -1.80 | 0.40 | <. 001 | -2.16 | 0.51 | < . 001 |
| Time (tax year), reverse adjacent contrasts | $\chi 2(6)=76.70, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(6)=48.45, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(6)=46.68, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| 2007 vs 2006 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.938 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.388 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.444 |
| 2008 vs 2007 | -0.04 | 0.32 | 0.889 | -0.08 | 0.31 | 0.799 | -0.12 | 0.42 | 0.770 |
| 2010 vs 2008 | -0.76 | 0.33 | 0.022 | -0.54 | 0.33 | 0.100 | -0.55 | 0.44 | 0.210 |
| 2012 vs 2010 | -0.88 | 0.63 | 0.161 | -0.57 | 0.62 | 0.354 | -1.15 | 0.82 | 0.160 |
| 2013 vs 2012 | -0.77 | 0.68 | 0.258 | -1.01 | 0.67 | 0.128 | -0.87 | 0.86 | 0.310 |
| 2014 vs 2013 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.744 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 0.745 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.676 |

Sex

| Female | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.120 | 0.90 | 0.39 | 0.021 | 0.98 | 0.48 | 0.040 |
| Age (continuous) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 0.16 | 0.087 | 0.075 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.047 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.157 |
| Age squared | -0.0024 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 0.006 | -0.0023 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.006 | -0.0020 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0010 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.038 |
| Region | $\chi 2(11)=19.59, p=0.051$ |  |  | $\chi 2(11)=18.87, p=0.064$ |  |  | $\chi 2(11)=18.96, p=0.062$ |  |  |
| London | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Yorkshire \& The Humber | -0.87 | 1.02 | 0.391 | -0.84 | 0.97 | 0.385 | -0.98 | 1.18 | 0.410 |
| East Midlands | -0.38 | 0.92 | 0.675 | -0.64 | 0.88 | 0.465 | -0.88 | 1.08 | 0.418 |
| Eastern | 0.49 | 0.87 | 0.573 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.421 | 0.89 | 1.03 | 0.389 |
| North East | -0.84 | 1.18 | 0.479 | -0.77 | 1.12 | 0.492 | -0.91 | 1.32 | 0.492 |
| South East | 0.72 | 0.83 | 0.382 | 0.41 | 0.79 | 0.600 | 0.45 | 0.98 | 0.648 |
| South West | 0.64 | 0.83 | 0.440 | 0.57 | 0.79 | 0.473 | 0.79 | 0.95 | 0.404 |
| West Midlands | -0.99 | 0.93 | 0.288 | -0.82 | 0.88 | 0.350 | -0.76 | 1.06 | 0.474 |
| North West | 1.14 | 0.91 | 0.209 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.300 | 0.74 | 1.04 | 0.472 |
| Wales | -1.83 | 0.97 | 0.060 | -1.94 | 0.93 | 0.037 | -2.63 | 1.12 | 0.020 |


| Scotland | -0.44 | 0.96 | 0.651 | 0.18 | 0.92 | 0.844 | 0.22 | 1.10 | 0.845 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Ireland | -1.15 | 1.39 | 0.409 | -0.38 | 1.32 | 0.771 | -0.02 | 1.49 | 0.988 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Not white | -0.89 | 1.19 | 0.452 | -0.08 | 1.13 | 0.947 | -0.22 | 1.30 | 0.865 |
| Education | $\chi 2(2)=19.78, p=0.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=17.15, p=0.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=19.78, p=0.0001$ |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 1.60 | 0.45 | < . 001 | 1.49 | 0.43 | < . 001 | 1.98 | 0.52 | < . 001 |
| High | 2.01 | 0.55 | < . 001 | 1.75 | 0.54 | 0.001 | 2.26 | 0.66 | 0.001 |
| Time To First Cigarette (TTFC) | $\chi 2(3)=16.20, p 0.0010$ |  |  | $\chi 2(3)=23.89, p<.0001$ |  |  | $\chi 2(3)=26.52, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| Over 60 mins | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| 31 to 60 mins | 0.03 | 0.51 | 0.949 | -0.05 | 0.48 | 0.913 | -0.18 | 0.62 | 0.779 |
| 6 to 30 mins | -0.81 | 0.49 | 0.098 | -1.10 | 0.40 | 0.019 | -1.42 | 0.60 | 0.019 |
| Within 5 mins | -1.68 | 0.56 | 0.003 | -2.00 | 0.53 | <. 001 | -2.79 | 0.69 | <. 001 |
| Purchase source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK store-based | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- |
| Non-UK/ nonstore | 2.50 | 0.31 | <. 001 | 2.35 | 0.30 | <. 001 | --- | --- | --- |
| Constant | --- | --- | --- | 93.00 | 2.10 | < . 001 | 93.75 | 2.52 | < . 001 |

Notes: Model 1 is the unadjusted effects of affordability regressed separately on each predictor variable, Model 2 is adjusted for all covariates, and Model 3 is adjusted for all covariates but excludes purchases from non-UK/ non-store sources. Chi-square ( $\chi 2$ ) statistics are for overall effects of linear trends (time) and any variables with 3 or more categories

Table S13. Nielsen data study 4 - Annual volume of sticks and packs (millions) sold for factory-made (FM) cigarettes, roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco, and make-your-own (MYO) cigarettes.

|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | \% change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| StickSa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM | 41,831 | 40,951 | 39,148 | 36,545 | 34,055 | 32,883 | 30,710 | -17 |
| RYO | 8,671 | 9,691 | 10,830 | 11,262 | 11,824 | 11,980 | 11,775 | 46 |
| MYO | 20 | 17 | 24 | 78 | 114 | 119 | 108 | 437 |
| Total | 50,522 | 50,659 | 50,003 | 47,885 | 45,993 | 44,982 | 42,593 | -13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packsb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM | 2,277 | 2,233 | 2,179 | 2,063 | 1,954 | 1,932 | 1,828 | -15 |
| RYO | 239 | 262 | 291 | 303 | 323 | 336 | 335 | 40 |
| MYO | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 132 |
| Total | 2,517 | 2,495 | 2,470 | 2,367 | 2,279 | 2,270 | 2,165 | -12 |

Note: Sticks includes all SKUs $>0.008 \%$ market share. Packs includes all SKUs $>0.008 \%$ market share (providing this market share was achieved for three months) but excludes cartons, retailer \& wholesaler brands, combi-packs, Berkeley and Swan.

Table S14. Nielsen data study 5 - Annual volumes of sticks and packs (millions) sold, by price segment, for factory-made (FM) cigarettes and roll-your-own (RYO) tobacco.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | \% change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 5}$ | \% change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sticks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM premium | 9,904 | 8,739 | 7,587 | 6,737 | 5,927 | 5,340 | 4,509 | -54 | -33 |
| FM mid-price | 20,022 | 18,207 | 15,962 | 13,702 | 11,758 | 9,783 | 7,822 | -61 | -43 |
| FM value | 4,960 | 7,329 | 9,725 | 10,630 | 10,987 | 11,577 | 11,217 | 126 | 6 |
| FM sub-value |  |  |  | 284 | 1,217 | 2,777 | 4,323 |  | 1422 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RYO premium | 4,964 | 4,793 | 4,407 | 3,889 | 3,431 | 3,154 | 2,841 | -43 | -27 |
| RYO mid-price | 3,374 | 4,419 | 5,512 | 5,891 | 6,278 | 6,182 | 6,012 | 78 | 2 |
| RYO value | 333 | 479 | 885 | 1,363 | 1,871 | 2,187 | 2,305 | 592 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM premium | 603 | 532 | 466 | 415 | 367 | 332 | 279 | -54 | -33 |
| FM mid-price | 1,251 | 1,143 | 1,013 | 877 | 758 | 641 | 514 | -59 | -41 |
| FM value | 288 | 430 | 582 | 643 | 673 | 722 | 708 | 146 | 10 |
| FM subvalue |  |  |  | 16 | 70 | 165 | 266 |  | 1586 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RYO premium | 130 | 122 | 111 | 98 | 85 | 77 | 69 | -47 | -30 |
| RYO mid-price | 97 | 124 | 152 | 161 | 171 | 168 | 163 | 68 | 1 |
| RYO value | 12 | 15 | 27 | 40 | 56 | 68 | 74 | 519 | 86 |

Table S15. ITC data study 6 - survey collection dates by country

|  | Survey 5 | Survey 6 | Survey 7 | Survey 8 | Survey 9 | Survey 10 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start date | Oct, 2006 | Sep, 2007 | Oct, 2008 | Jul, 2010 | Feb, 2013 | Aug, 2014 |
| End date | Feb, 2007 | Feb, 2008 | Mar, 2009 | Jan, 2011 | Sep, 2013 | Dec, 2014 |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start date | Oct, 2006 | Sep, 2007 | Oct, 2008 | Jul, 2010 | Feb, 2013 | Aug, 2014 |
| End date | Feb, 2007 | Feb, 2008 | Mar, 2009 | May, 2011 | May, 2013 | Dec, 2014 |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start date | Oct, 2006 | Sep, 2007 | Nov, 2008 | Jul, 2010 | Aug, 2013 | No survey |
| End date | Feb, 2007 | Feb, 2008 | Jul, 2009 | May, 2011 | Oct, 2014 | No survey |
| United States of America (USA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start date | Oct, 2006 | Sep, 2007 | Nov, 2008 | Jul, 2010 | Aug, 2013 | No survey |
| End date | Feb, 2007 | Feb, 2008 | Jul, 2009 | Jun, 2011 | Apr, 2015 | No survey |

Note: ITC-4C = International Tobacco Control 4-country study.

Table S16. ITC data study 6 - Missing data comparisons using univariate clustered logistic regressions indicating the odds of being in the valid sample (versus the sample excluded for misreported or incomplete data). (Total $N=1924$, observations $=3793$ : total missing observations $=617$, total valid observations $=3176$ )

|  | Missing (\%) | Valid (\%) | OR | 95\% CI low | 95\% Cl high |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country |  |  | Overall effect: $\mathrm{x} 2(3)=148.1, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| Canada | 32.9 | 67.1 | ref | --- | --- |
| United States of America | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.89 |
| United Kingdom | 11.2 | 88.8 | 6.14 | 4.10 | 9.21 |
| Australia | 12.0 | 88.0 | 5.55 | 3.58 | 8.59 |
| Time To First Cigarette (mins) | $\begin{gathered} m=62 \\ s d=152 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m=46 \\ & s d=92 \end{aligned}$ | 0.998 | 0.996 | 0.999 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 18.6 | 81.4 | ref | --- | --- |
| Male | 14.8 | 85.2 | 1.48 | 1.12 | 1.97 |
| Age group |  |  | Overall effect: $\mathrm{X} 2(2)=8.2, p<.05$ |  |  |
| 18-39 years | 13.9 | 86.1 | ref | --- | --- |
| 40-54 years | 15.1 | 84.9 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 1.22 |
| 55 years and over | 18.8 | 81.2 | 0.61 | 0.42 | 0.88 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Englishspeaking | 15.4 | 84.6 | ref | --- | --- |
| Not white/ not English-speaking | 27.0 | 73.0 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.59 |
| Education |  |  | Overall effect: $\mathrm{X}(2)=0.68, p=0.713$ |  |  |
| Low | 15.9 | 84.1 | ref | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 16.3 | 83.7 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 1.31 |
| High | 14.2 | 85.8 | 1.15 | 0.77 | 1.73 |
| Income |  |  | Overall effect: $\mathrm{X}(3)=8.92, p<.05$ |  |  |
| Low | 17.6 | 82.4 | ref | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 16.3 | 83.7 | 1.28 | 0.93 | 1.76 |
| High | 12.6 | 87.4 | 1.75 | 1.19 | 2.58 |
| Not disclosed | 18.5 | 81.5 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 1.63 |

Note: Effects are unadjusted univariate effects for each variable; $\mathrm{OR}=$ odds ratio; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; mins = minutes; $m=$ mean, $s d=$ standard deviation. Percentages of missing and valid data are for observations, not individuals. Missing data was excluded on a variable-by-variable basis: education $=24$ observations, ethnicity $=13$ observations, and time to first cigarette $=88$ observations (no missing data on country, sex, age group, or income).

Table S17. ITC data study 6 - Multivariate clustered linear regression analyses predicting the weight of tobacco per roll-your-own cigarette by country (all pairwise comparisons shown).

|  | Beta | SE (Beta) | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Country comparisons | Overall effect: $\mathrm{x} 2(3)=223.5, p<.0001$ |  |  |
| USA (ref) vs. Canada | -0.31 | 0.055 | $<.001$ |
| USA (ref) vs. United Kingdom | -0.58 | 0.045 | $<.001$ |
| USA (ref) vs. Australia | -0.56 | 0.045 | $<.001$ |
| Canada (ref) vs. UK | -0.27 | 0.034 | $<.001$ |
| Canada (ref) vs. Australia | -0.25 | 0.035 | $<.001$ |
| Australia (ref) vs. UK | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.142 |

Notes: SE = standard error; USA = United States of America; ref = reference category. Effects are fully-adjusted clustered effects, controlling for time to first cigarette, sex, age, ethnicity, education, and income (see text for details of covariates).

Table S18. ITC data study 4 (analysis 4a) - Fully adjusted clustered, multinomial logistic regression of tobacco product type (premium FM is reference, with $25.0 \%$ using this product) on individual-level predictors, full results. $N=2418$, Obs $=4339$

|  | Mid-price FM (25.6\%) |  |  |  | Value FM (19.0\%) |  |  |  | RYO tobacco (30.4\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RRR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { high } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | RRR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | RRR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ high | $p$ |
| Tobacco tax increase rate | Overall effect for tobacco tax increase rate: $\chi^{2}(9)=187.43, p<.0001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 1.12 | 0.401 | 1.58 | 1.25 | 2.00 | < 001 | 1.36 | 1.15 | 1.61 | <. 001 |
| 2 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 1.08 | 0.160 | 4.17 | 3.13 | 5.54 | < . 001 | 2.24 | 1.75 | 2.86 | < . 001 |
| 5 | 0.81 | 0.47 | 1.38 | 0.434 | 5.39 | 3.36 | 8.67 | < . 001 | 3.60 | 2.32 | 5.58 | < 001 |
| Dependence <br> (HSI) | Overall effect for HSI: $\chi^{2}(6)=16.19, p<.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 1.20 | 0.96 | 1.49 | 0.101 | 1.46 | 1.15 | 1.86 | 0.002 | 1.42 | 1.13 | 1.78 | 0.002 |
| High | 0.90 | 0.57 | 1.41 | 0.636 | 1.08 | 0.65 | 1.79 | 0.761 | 1.38 | 0.90 | 2.12 | 0.143 |
| Brand Loyalty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.65 | 1.55 | 0.988 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 1.01 | 0.053 | 0.62 | 0.41 | 0.92 | 0.017 |
| Product loyalty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | 0.95 | 0.70 | 1.30 | 0.762 | 0.94 | 0.67 | 1.32 | 0.731 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 1.10 | 0.173 |
| Cheap purchases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| High | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.67 | < 001 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.93 | 0.023 | 1.68 | 1.22 | 2.33 | 0.002 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Male | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.81 | < 001 | 0.63 | 0.49 | 0.83 | 0.001 | 1.97 | 1.53 | 2.53 | < 001 |
| Age | Overall effect for age: $\chi^{2}(6)=47.40, p<.0001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-39 | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| 40-54 | 0.73 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 0.024 | 1.30 | 0.94 | 1.80 | 0.108 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 1.34 | 0.975 |
| 55 and older | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.72 | < 001 | 1.29 | 0.92 | 1.80 | 0.135 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.82 | 0.001 |
| Education | Overall effect for education: $\chi_{2}(6)=33.30, p<0001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 0.80 | 0.61 | 1.05 | 0.114 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.80 | 0.001 | 0.76 | 0.57 | 1.02 | 0.065 |
| High | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.73 | < . 001 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.55 | < . 001 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 0.93 | 0.015 |
| Income | Overall effect for income: $\chi^{2}(9)=63.46, p<.0001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 1.00 | 0.74 | 1.35 | 0.998 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 1.08 | 0.142 | 0.66 | 0.49 | 0.88 | 0.005 |
| High | 0.77 | 0.55 | 1.06 | 0.107 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.61 | < 001 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.50 | < 001 |
| Not Disclosed | 0.81 | 0.52 | 1.28 | 0.371 | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.91 | 0.020 | 0.94 | 0.59 | 1.49 | 0.791 |
| Region | Overall effect for region: $\chi_{2}(12)=73.47, p<.0001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| London | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern | 2.38 | 1.55 | 3.65 | < . 001 | 2.64 | 1.43 | 3.58 | < . 001 | 1.50 | 0.95 | 2.36 | 0.079 |
| Midlands and Eastern | 1.40 | 0.93 | 2.11 | 0.108 | 1.19 | 0.77 | 1.85 | 0.440 | 1.57 | 1.04 | 2.38 | 0.033 |
| Southern | 1.13 | 0.74 | 1.70 | 0.574 | 1.63 | 1.04 | 2.55 | 0.032 | 2.03 | 1.33 | 3.10 | 0.001 |
| Outside England | 2.13 | 1.40 | 3.23 | <. 001 | 1.49 | 0.95 | 2.34 | 0.086 | 1.28 | 0.82 | 2.00 | 0.281 |
| Relationship status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Partnered | 0.85 | 0.68 | 1.07 | 0.161 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.80 | < . 001 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.89 | 0.003 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Not white | 0.82 | 0.32 | 0.84 | 0.007 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.83 | 0.006 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.54 | <. 001 |
| Recruitment type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replenish | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Recontact | 0.87 | 0.70 | 1.08 | 0.210 | 1.05 | 0.83 | 1.33 | 0.707 | 1.38 | 1.11 | 1.72 | 0.004 |
| Survey mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Online | 0.91 | 0.80 | 1.03 | 0.145 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 1.19 | 0.592 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.001 |

Note: FM = factory-made cigarettes; RYO = roll-your-own tobacco; HSI = heaviness of smoking index; RRR = relative risk ratio; CI = confidence interval; Obs = observations; tobacco tax increase rates are presented as percent above inflation. RRRs are for the fully-adjusted model, controlling for all variables listed.

Table S19. ITC data study 4 (analysis 4b) - Fully adjusted clustered, multinomial logistic regression of tobacco purchase changes (trading-up/ staying the same is reference, with $72.0 \%$ achieving this outcome) on individual-level predictors, full results. $N=854$, Obs $=1397$

| Trade-down FM (13.5\%) |  |  |  | Switch to RYO tobacco (6.2\%) |  |  |  | Stop purchasinga (8.3\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RRR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | RRR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { C } \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | 95\% CI high | $p$ | RRR | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ low | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ high | $p$ |

Tobacco tax increase rate

| 1 | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.15 | 0.78 | 1.71 | 0.481 | 1.48 | 0.84 | 2.61 | 0.179 | 1.42 | 0.88 | 2.30 | 0.151 |
| 5 | 4.13 | 2.33 | 7.35 | $<.001$ | 5.87 | 2.66 | 12.98 | $<.001$ | 2.89 | 1.38 | 6.01 | 0.005 |

## Dependence

 (HSI)| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderate | 0.85 | 0.61 | 1.19 | 0.343 | 1.36 | 0.83 | 2.21 | 0.217 | 0.80 | 0.53 | 1.20 | 0.280 |
| High | 1.00 | 0.52 | 1.91 | 0.999 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 1.56 | 0.203 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 1.19 | 0.099 |

## Brand Loyalty

| No | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 0.96 | 0.47 | 1.96 | 0.915 | 0.99 | 0.36 | 2.71 | 0.983 | 1.15 | 0.43 | 3.06 | 0.782 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| High | 0.96 | 0.41 | 2.29 | 0.935 | 1.95 | 0.85 | 4.51 | 0.117 | 1.08 | 0.43 | 2.73 | 0.869 |

Sex

| Female | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 0.98 | 0.72 | 1.35 | 0.918 | 2.30 | 1.44 | 3.68 | 0.001 | 1.12 | 0.74 | 1.71 | 0.591 |

Age

## Cheap

Purchases

| $18-39$ | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40-54$ | 0.80 | 0.52 | 1.23 | 0.315 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.043 | 0.65 | 0.36 | 1.16 | 0.145 |
| 55 and older | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.98 | 0.041 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 1.49 | $<.001$ | 0.84 | 0.48 | 1.47 | 0.594 |

Education
Overall effect for education: $\chi 2(6)=6.60, p=0.360$

| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderate | 0.64 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 0.013 | 0.87 | 0.50 | 1.49 | 0.605 | 1.03 | 0.64 | 1.66 | 0.896 |
| high | 0.86 | 0.55 | 1.32 | 0.487 | 1.09 | 0.54 | 2.19 | 0.806 | 0.99 | 0.53 | 1.83 | 0.972 |
| Income | Overall effect for income: $\chi 2$ (9) = 17.82, $p<.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 1.10 | 0.75 | 1.61 | 0.617 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 1.02 | 0.059 | 1.12 | 0.61 | 2.04 | 0.717 |
| High | 0.90 | 0.58 | 1.40 | 0.649 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.91 | 0.026 | 1.83 | 0.98 | 3.42 | 0.058 |
| Not disclosed | 1.17 | 0.66 | 2.09 | 0.590 | 0.73 | 0.28 | 1.90 | 0.526 | 2.57 | 1.20 | 5.01 | 0.015 |
| Region | Overall effect for region: $\chi 2(12)=12.32, p=0.420$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| London | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern | 1.58 | 0.89 | 2.80 | 0.116 | 2.03 | 0.81 | 5.09 | 0.129 | 1.36 | 0.67 | 2.75 | 0.352 |
| Midlands and Eastern | 1.13 | 0.62 | 2.06 | 0.691 | 2.34 | 0.97 | 5.63 | 0.057 | 1.11 | 0.75 | 1.66 | 0.840 |


| Southern | 1.52 | 0.85 | 2.74 | 0.161 | 2.01 | 0.79 | 5.11 | 0.143 | 0.62 | 0.22 | 1.75 | 0.742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside <br> England | 1.64 | 0.92 | 2.91 | 0.094 | 1.53 | 0.61 | 3.88 | 0.367 | 0.80 | 0.53 | 1.20 | 0.388 |
| Relationship <br> status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Partnered | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.97 | 0.031 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 1.03 | 0.068 | 1.11 | 0.75 | 1.66 | 0.596 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: $\mathrm{FM}=$ factory-made cigarettes; $\mathrm{RYO}=$ roll-your-own tobacco; $\mathrm{HSI}=$ heaviness of smoking index; RRR = relative risk ratio; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; tobacco tax increase rates are presented as percent above inflation. RRRs are for the fully-adjusted model, controlling for all variables described in text. All predictors are measured at baseline, except for tobacco tax rates, measured at outcome survey. Participants smoking RYO tobacco at baseline, and those currently quit at outcome but for under 6 months are excluded.
aNot purchasing because currently (at time of outcome survey) quit for at least six month.

Table S20. ITC data study 5 - Fully adjusted clustered logistic regression of making a quit attempt and achieving at least 6 months of sustained abstinence, full results.

|  | Analysis 5a Making a quit attempt ( $N=1304$, Obs = 2202) |  |  |  | Analysis 5b <br> Achieving at least 6 months quit ( $N=1194, O b s=2017$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ | $p$ | OR | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ | $p$ |
| Tobacco product type | $\chi^{2}(3)=7.95, p<.05$ |  |  |  | $\chi 2(3)=8.78, p<.05$ |  |  |  |
| Premium FM | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Mid-price FM | 1.28 | 0.90 | 1.82 | 0.167 | 2.31 | 1.17 | 4.55 | 0.016 |
| Value FM | 1.31 | 0.89 | 1.94 | 0.174 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 5.88 | 0.006 |
| RYO tobacco | 0.83 | 0.59 | 1.18 | 0.308 | 2.22 | 1.15 | 4.29 | 0.018 |
| Tobacco tax increase rate | $\chi 2(2)=7.79, p<.05$ |  |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=6.29, p<.05$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| 2 | 1.47 | 1.11 | 1.93 | 0.006 | 1.96 | 1.07 | 3.62 | 0.030 |
| 5 | 1.45 | 0.93 | 2.26 | 0.100 | 2.66 | 1.16 | 6.08 | 0.020 |
| Dependence (HSI) | $\chi 2(2)=9.57, p<.01$ |  |  |  | $\chi 2(2)=5.36, p=0.069$ |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 0.67 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.002 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 1.09 | 0.113 |
| High | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.20 | 0.213 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.92 | 0.035 |
| Brand loyalty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | 0.66 | 0.40 | 1.09 | 0.108 | 0.89 | 0.38 | 2.07 | 0.784 |
| Product loyalty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | 1.46 | 0.98 | 2.17 | 0.062 | 1.08 | 0.54 | 2.18 | 0.824 |
| Cheap purchases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | -- | --- | --- |
| High | 0.77 | 0.48 | 1.22 | 0.262 | 1.16 | 0.55 | 2.45 | 0.692 |

Sex

| Female | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 0.87 | 0.67 | 1.15 | 0.328 |

Age

| $18-39$ | ref | -- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40-54$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2}$ | 0.43 | 0.88 | 0.007 |
| 55 and older | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ | 0.42 | 0.86 | 0.005 |

Education $\chi 2(2)=10.98, p<.005$

| ref | --- | --- | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.10 | 0.70 | 1.74 | 0.685 |
|  | $\chi 2(2)=2.57, p=0.276$ |  |  |
| ref | --- | --- | --- |
| 0.62 | 0.34 | 1.13 | 0.118 |
| 0.80 | 0.44 | 1.44 | 0.457 |

$$
\chi^{2}(2)=3.38, p=0.184
$$

| Low | ref | --- | -- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderate | 1.00 | 0.73 | 1.36 | 0.978 | 1.42 | 0.84 | 2.40 | 0.187 |
| High | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2}$ | 1.25 | 2.65 | 0.002 | 1.75 | 0.92 | 3.33 | 0.088 |


| Income | $\chi 2(3)=0.42, p=0.935$ |  |  |  | $\chi 2(3)=3.63, p=0.305$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Moderate | 1.00 | 0.72 | 1.39 | 0.989 | 1.32 | 0.73 | 2.40 | 0.363 |
| High | 1.06 | 0.73 | 1.54 | 0.747 | 1.69 | 0.88 | 3.23 | 0.113 |
| Not disclosed | 1.14 | 0.69 | 1.89 | 0.607 | 1.99 | 0.85 | 4.68 | 0.115 |
| Region | $\chi 2(4)=1.4, p=0.836$ |  |  |  | $\chi 2(4)=1.41, p=0.842$ |  |  |  |
| London | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern | 1.16 | 0.71 | 1.90 | 0.542 | 0.85 | 0.38 | 1.92 | 0.693 |
| Midlands and Eastern | 1.08 | 0.67 | 1.73 | 0.746 | 0.99 | 0.45 | 2.15 | 0.973 |
| Southern | 0.94 | 0.59 | 1.51 | 0.805 | 0.72 | 0.32 | 1.62 | 0.434 |
| Outside England | 0.97 | 0.60 | 1.59 | 0.915 | 0.74 | 0.32 | 1.69 | 0.45 |
| Relationship status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Partnered | 1.31 | 1.01 | 1.70 | 0.040 | 1.35 | 0.87 | 2.09 | 0.182 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Not white | 1.43 | 0.74 | 2.77 | 0.284 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 4.50 | 0.470 |
| Recruitment type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replenish | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Recontact | 0.89 | 0.67 | 1.20 | 0.462 | 1.35 | 0.75 | 2.42 | 0.3112 |
| Survey mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone | ref | --- | --- | --- | ref | --- | --- | --- |
| Online | 1.01 | 0.86 | 1.18 | 0.932 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 1.20 | 0.552 |

Notes: In Analysis 5a 39.4\% made a quit attempt, and in Analysis 5b 9.7\% achieved sustained abstinence for at least six months, between baseline and outcome surveys. $\mathrm{FM}=$ factory-made cigarettes; $\mathrm{RYO}=$ roll-your-own tobacco; OR = odds ratio; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; tobacco tax increase rates are presented as percent above inflation. ORs are for the fully-adjusted model, controlling for all variables described in text. Chi-square ( $\chi 2$ ) statistics are for overall effects of any variables with 3 or more categories). All predictors are measured at baseline, except for tobacco tax increase rates, measured at the outcome survey. Achieving at least 6 months quit is defined as achieving at least 6 months of sustained abstinence between the baseline and outcome surveys regardless of status at the outcome survey. Participants currently quit for less than 6 months at the outcome survey were excluded from Analysis 5b (see text).

